

Clinical Policy: Caudal or Interlaminar Epidural Steroid Injections

Reference Number: LA.CP.MP.164

Date of Last Revision: 07/25

[Coding Implications](#)

[Revision Log](#)

See [Important Reminder](#) at the end of this policy for important regulatory and legal information.

Description

Epidural steroid injections (ESIs) are a non-surgical treatment that involve the administration of a glucocorticoid, or steroid, and/or anesthetic via a needle inserted in the space between the ligamentum flavum and the dura.^{5,24} Epidural injections are performed utilizing three approaches in the lumbar spine: caudal, interlaminar, and transforaminal.^{2,5} Computed tomography (CT) or standard fluoroscopy can be used during administration to provide guidance and anatomic detail.²²

Policy/Criteria

It is the policy of Louisiana Healthcare Connections that invasive pain management procedures performed by a physician are **medically necessary** when *the relevant criteria are met, only one procedure is performed per visit, and with imaging guidance (except in rare instances, with documented justification)*.

Note: Discontinuing anti-platelet therapy is a clinical decision balancing risks and benefits of the procedure on therapy versus the underlying medical condition if not treated appropriately.²⁴

- I. It is the policy of Louisiana Healthcare Connections that caudal or interlaminar epidural steroid injections (ESIs) are **medically necessary** for the following indications:
 - A. *One caudal or interlaminar ESI for acute pain management (pain lasting < three months) when all of the following are met:*
 1. There is severe radicular pain that interferes substantially with activities of daily living (ADLs);
 2. Severe pain persists after treatment with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and/or opiates (both $\geq$ three days or contraindicated/not tolerated);
 3. The member/enrollee cannot tolerate chiropractic or physical therapy, and the injection is intended as a bridge to therapy.
 - B. *Initial ESI for chronic pain, all of the following:*
 1. Request is for one caudal or interlaminar ESI at one level in the cervical, thoracic or lumbar region;
 2. Persistent radicular pain has been caused by spinal stenosis, disc herniation or degenerative changes in the vertebrae, as confirmed by physical exam and imaging;
 3. Pain interferes with ADLs and has lasted for at least three months;
 4. The member/enrollee has failed to respond to conservative therapy including all of the following:
 - a. $\geq$ four weeks of chiropractic, physical therapy or prescribed home exercise program;
 - b. NSAID for $\geq$ three weeks or NSAID contraindicated or not tolerated;
 - c. $\geq$ four weeks activity modification.

- C. *Second caudal or interlaminar ESI for chronic pain that **did not** improve from the first ESI, all of the following:*
1. Request is for an ESI at one level in the cervical, thoracic or lumbar region;
 2. At least two weeks have passed since the first ESI.
- D. *Subsequent caudal or interlaminar ESI for recurrence of chronic pain that **had improved** from the first or second ESI, all of the following:*
1. Initial injection(s) led to $\geq 50\%$ pain relief and functional improvement for at least two months;
 2. At least two months have passed since the last ESI;
 3. Less than four injections have been administered within 12 months;
 4. Less than 12 months have elapsed since the initial injection at the level requested.
- II. It is the policy of Louisiana Healthcare Connections that *a third or subsequent caudal or interlaminar ESI for chronic pain that **did not** improve from the first two ESIs is considered **not medically necessary** because effectiveness has not been established.*
- III. It is the policy of Louisiana Healthcare Connections that *continuation of injections beyond 12 months or more than four therapeutic injections is considered **not medically necessary** because effectiveness and safety have not been established. When more definitive therapies cannot be tolerated or provided, consideration will be made on a case-by-case basis.*
- IV. It is the policy of Louisiana Healthcare Connections that *caudal or interlaminar ESI for any other indication or location is considered **not medically necessary** because effectiveness has not been established.*

Background

There is much debate on the efficacy and medical necessity of multiple interventions for managing spinal and low back pain. Epidural glucocorticoid injections have been used for pain control in individuals with radiculopathy, spinal stenosis, and nonspecific low back pain. However, efficacy is difficult to understand due to inconsistent results as well as heterogeneous populations and interventions in randomized controlled trials (RCTs).⁵ Generally, candidates for epidural steroid injection are individuals who have acute radicular symptoms or neurogenic claudication unresponsive to traditional analgesics and rest, with significant impairment in activities of daily living.^{5,21} Epidural steroid injections have been used in the treatment of spinal stenosis for many years, but no validated long-term outcomes substantiate their use.^{5,8,22} However, significant improvement in pain scores have been reported at three months after injection.^{5, 21, 22} Additionally, in a systematic review of studies, epidural steroid injections were not found to improve pain or function in individuals with nonspecific low back pain.^{4,5}

Zhai et al. (2015) conducted a meta-analysis to assess the effects of various surgical and nonsurgical modalities, including epidural injections, used to treat lumbar disc herniation and radiculitis. A systemic literature review identified RCTs that compared the use of local anesthetic with and without steroids. The outcomes included pain relief, functional improvement, opioid intake, and therapeutic procedural characteristics. The reviewers concluded the meta-analysis

confirms that epidural injections of local anesthetic with or without steroids have beneficial but similar effects in the treatment of patients with chronic low back and lower extremity pain.¹

Manchikanti et al. (2015) analyzed the results of a two-year follow-up of three randomized, double-blind, controlled trials, with a total of 360 patients with chronic persistent pain of disc herniation receiving either caudal, lumbar interlaminar or transforaminal epidural injections, which showed similar efficacy of the three techniques with local anesthetic alone or local anesthetic with steroid. Caudal and interlaminar trials used in the assessment showed some superiority of steroids over local anesthetic at three and six month follow-up. Interlaminar with steroids were superior to transforaminal at 12 months.²

Coding Implications

This clinical policy references Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®). CPT® is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association. All CPT codes and descriptions are copyrighted 2024, American Medical Association. All rights reserved. CPT codes and CPT descriptions are from the current manuals and those included herein are not intended to be all-inclusive and are included for informational purposes only. Codes referenced in this clinical policy are for informational purposes only and may not support medical necessity. Inclusion or exclusion of any codes does not guarantee coverage. Providers should reference the most up-to-date sources of professional coding guidance prior to the submission of claims for reimbursement of covered services.

NOTE: Coverage is subject to each requested code's inclusion on the corresponding LDH fee schedule. Non-covered codes are denoted (*) and are reviewed for Medical Necessity for members under 21 years of age on a per case basis.

CPT Codes	Description
62320	Injection(s), of diagnostic or therapeutic substance(s) (eg, anesthetic, antispasmodic, opioid, steroid, other solution), not including neurolytic substances, including needle or catheter placement, interlaminar epidural or subarachnoid, cervical or thoracic; without imaging guidance
62321	Injection(s), of diagnostic or therapeutic substance(s) (eg, anesthetic, antispasmodic, opioid, steroid, other solution), not including neurolytic substances, including needle or catheter placement, interlaminar epidural or subarachnoid, cervical or thoracic; with imaging guidance (i.e., fluoroscopy or CT)
62322	Injection(s), of diagnostic or therapeutic substance(s) (eg, anesthetic, antispasmodic, opioid, steroid, other solution), not including neurolytic substances, including needle or catheter placement, interlaminar epidural or subarachnoid, lumbar or sacral (caudal); without imaging guidance
62323	Injection(s), of diagnostic or therapeutic substance(s) (eg, anesthetic, antispasmodic, opioid, steroid, other solution), not including neurolytic substances, including needle or catheter placement, interlaminar epidural or subarachnoid, lumbar or sacral (caudal); with imaging guidance (i.e., fluoroscopy or CT)
62324	Injection(s), including indwelling catheter placement, continuous infusion or intermittent bolus, of diagnostic or therapeutic substance(s) (eg, anesthetic,

CLINICAL POLICY
Caudal or Interlaminar Epidural Steroid Injections



CPT Codes	Description
	antispasmodic, opioid, steroid, other solution), not including neurolytic substances, interlaminar epidural or subarachnoid, cervical or thoracic; without imaging guidance
62325	Injection(s), including indwelling catheter placement, continuous infusion or intermittent bolus, of diagnostic or therapeutic substance(s) (eg, anesthetic, antispasmodic, opioid, steroid, other solution), not including neurolytic substances, interlaminar epidural or subarachnoid, cervical or thoracic; with imaging guidance (i.e., fluoroscopy or CT)
62326	Injection(s), including indwelling catheter placement, continuous infusion or intermittent bolus, of diagnostic or therapeutic substance(s) (eg, anesthetic, antispasmodic, opioid, steroid, other solution), not including neurolytic substances, interlaminar epidural or subarachnoid, lumbar or sacral (caudal); without imaging guidance
62327	Injection(s), including indwelling catheter placement, continuous infusion or intermittent bolus, of diagnostic or therapeutic substance(s) (eg, anesthetic, antispasmodic, opioid, steroid, other solution), not including neurolytic substances, interlaminar epidural or subarachnoid, lumbar or sacral (caudal); with imaging guidance (i.e., fluoroscopy or CT)

Reviews, Revisions, and Approvals	Revision Date	Approval Date	Effective Date
Converted corporate to local policy.	8/15/20		
In policy statement, changed “with or without radiographic guidance” to “with imaging, (except in rare instances, with documented justification).” Changed “review date” in the header to “date of last revision” and “date” in the revision log header to “revision date.” References reviewed and updated. Replaced “member” with “member/enrollee” in policy. Specialist review.	1/2022		
Removed “Request is not for cervical interlaminar ESI above C7” from B.5, C.3 and D.5. Annual review. Note added regarding guidelines for transforaminal ESIs. Background updated with no impact on criteria. References reviewed and updated.	9/22	11/28/22	
Annual review. ICD-10 diagnosis code table removed. References reviewed and updated. Reviewed by external specialist.	08/23	10/30/23	
Annual review. Updated week requirement criteria I.B.4.a.-c. Coding reviewed. References reviewed and updated.	07/24	9/24	10/25
Annual review. Description and background updated with no clinical significance. Removed “and the member/enrollee is not currently being treated with full anticoagulation therapy. If on warfarin, international normalized ratio (INR) should be ≤ 1.4 prior to the procedure” from criteria. Other sections of criteria updated with no clinical significance. Coding reviewed. References reviewed and updated. Internal specialists reviewed. External specialist reviewed.	07/25	9/22/25	10/22/25

References

1. Zhai J, Zhang L, Li M, et al. Epidural injection with or without steroid in managing chronic low back and lower extremity pain: ameta-analysis of ten randomized controlled trials. *Int J Clin Exp Med*. 2015;8(6):8304 to 8316. Published 2015 Jun 15.
2. Manchikanti L, Singh V, Pampati V, Falco FJ, Hirsch JA. Comparison of the efficacy of caudal, interlaminar, and transforaminal epidural injections in managing lumbar disc herniation: is one method superior to the other?. *Korean J Pain*. 2015;28(1):11 to 21. doi:10.3344/kjp.2015.28.1.11
3. Hegmann KT, Travis R, Andersson GBJ, et al. Invasive Treatments for Low Back Disorders. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2021;63(4):e215 to e241. doi:10.1097/JOM.0000000000001983
4. Chou R, Hashimoto R, Friedly J, et al. *Pain Management Injection Therapies for Low Back Pain*. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality(US); 2015.
5. Chou R. Subacute and chronic low back pain: Nonsurgical interventional treatment. UpToDate. www.uptodate.com. Published June 10, 2021. Updated May 15, 2024. Accessed April 14, 2025.
6. Chou R, Qaseem A, Snow V, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain: a joint clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians and the American Pain Society [published correction appears in *Ann Intern Med*. 2008 Feb 5;148(3):247-8]. *Ann Intern Med*. 2007;147(7):478 to 491. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-147-7-200710020-00006
7. Chou R, Loeser JD, Owens DK, et al. Interventional therapies, surgery, and interdisciplinary rehabilitation for low back pain: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline from the American Pain Society. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2009;34(10):1066 to 1077. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181a1390d
8. Chou R, Hashimoto R, Friedly J, et al. Epidural Corticosteroid Injections for Radiculopathy and Spinal Stenosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med*. 2015;163(5):373 to 381. doi:10.7326/M15-0934
9. Heggeness MH. AAOS endorses back pain guidelines. *AAOS Now*. <https://www.maine-general.org/app/files/public/6460f387-09dc-4968-b162-eee6121a1497/aaosbackpainguidelines.pdf>. Published September 2010. Accessed April 14, 2025.
10. Manchikanti L, Datta S, Derby R, et al. A critical review of the American Pain Society clinical practice guidelines for interventional techniques: part 1. Diagnostic interventions. *Pain Physician*. 2010;13(3):E141 to E174.
11. Manchikanti L, Datta S, Gupta S, et al. A critical review of the American Pain Society clinical practice guidelines for interventional techniques: part 2. Therapeutic interventions. *Pain Physician*. 2010;13(4):E215 to E264.
12. Manchikanti L, Abdi S, Atluri S, et al. An update of comprehensive evidence-based guidelines for interventional techniques in chronic spinal pain. Part II: guidance and recommendations. *Pain Physician*. 2013;16(2 Suppl):S49 to S283.
13. Novak S, Nemeth WC. The basis for recommending repeating epidural steroid injections for radicular low back pain: a literature review. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2008;89(3):543 to 552. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2007.11.008
14. Sharma AK, Vorobeychik Y, Wasserman R, et al. The Effectiveness and Risks of Fluoroscopically Guided Lumbar Interlaminar Epidural Steroid Injections: A Systematic

- Review with Comprehensive Analysis of the Published Data. *Pain Med.* 2017;18(2):239 to 251. doi:10.1093/pm/pnw131
15. Staal JB, de Bie R, de Vet HC, Hildebrandt J, Nelemans P. Injection therapy for subacute and chronic low-back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2008;2008(3):CD001824. Published 2008 Jul 16. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001824.pub3
 16. Vorobeychik Y, Sharma A, Smith CC, et al. The Effectiveness and Risks of Non-Image-Guided Lumbar Interlaminar Epidural Steroid Injections: A Systematic Review with Comprehensive Analysis of the Published Data. *Pain Med.* 2016;17(12):2185 to 2202. doi:10.1093/pm/pnw091
 17. Kreiner DS, Hwang S, Easa JE, et al. An evidence-based clinical guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of lumbar disc herniation with radiculopathy. *Spine J.* 2014;14(1):180 to 191. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2013.08.003
 18. Smith CC, Booker T, Schaufele MK, Weiss P. Interlaminar versus transforaminal epidural steroid injections for the treatment of symptomatic lumbar spinal stenosis. *Pain Med.* 2010;11(10):1511 to 1515. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4637.2010.00932.x
 19. Schaufele MK, Hatch L, Jones W. Interlaminar versus transforaminal epidural injections for the treatment of symptomatic lumbar intervertebral disc herniations. *Pain Physician.* 2006;9(4):361 to 366.
 20. Chang-Chien GC, Knezevic NN, McCormick Z, Chu SK, Trescot AM, Candido KD. Transforaminal versus interlaminar approaches to epidural steroid injections: a systematic review of comparative studies for lumbosacral radicular pain. *Pain Physician.* 2014;17(4):E509 to E524.
 21. Levin K, Hsu PS, Armon C. Acute lumbosacral radiculopathy: Treatment and prognosis. UpToDate. www.uptodate.com. Published November 29, 2022. Updated February 25, 2025. Accessed April 14, 2025.
 22. Kothari MJ, Chuang, K. Treatment and prognosis of cervical radiculopathy. UpToDate. www.uptodate.com. Published February 28, 2023. Updated April 1, 2025. Accessed April 14, 2025.
 23. North American Spine Society (NASS). Coverage Policy Recommendations: Epidural Steroid Injections and Selective Spinal Nerve Blocks. 2020.
 24. Local coverage determination: Epidural Steroid Injections for Pain Management. (L39015). Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Web site. <http://www.cms.hhs.gov/mcd/search.asp>. Published December 5, 2021. Revised on November 9, 2023. Accessed April 14, 2025.

Important Reminder

This clinical policy has been developed by appropriately experienced and licensed health care professionals based on a review and consideration of currently available generally accepted standards of medical practice; peer-reviewed medical literature; government agency/program approval status; evidence-based guidelines and positions of leading national health professional organizations; views of physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas affected by this clinical policy; and other available clinical information. LHCC makes no representations and accepts no liability with respect to the content of any external information used or relied upon in developing this clinical policy. This clinical policy is consistent with standards of medical practice current at the time that this clinical policy was approved.

The purpose of this clinical policy is to provide a guide to medical necessity, which is a component of the guidelines used to assist in making coverage decisions and administering benefits. It does not constitute a contract or guarantee regarding payment or results. Coverage decisions and the administration of benefits are subject to all terms, conditions, exclusions and limitations of the coverage documents (e.g., evidence of coverage, certificate of coverage, policy, contract of insurance, etc.), as well as to state and federal requirements and applicable LHCC administrative policies and procedures.

This clinical policy is effective as of the date determined by LHCC. The date of posting may not be the effective date of this clinical policy. This clinical policy may be subject to applicable legal and regulatory requirements relating to provider notification. If there is a discrepancy between the effective date of this clinical policy and any applicable legal or regulatory requirement, the requirements of law and regulation shall govern. LHCC retains the right to change, amend or withdraw this clinical policy, and additional clinical policies may be developed and adopted as needed, at any time.

This clinical policy does not constitute medical advice, medical treatment or medical care. It is not intended to dictate to providers how to practice medicine. Providers are expected to exercise professional medical judgment in providing the most appropriate care, and are solely responsible for the medical advice and treatment of member/enrollees. This clinical policy is not intended to recommend treatment for member/enrollees. Member/enrollees should consult with their treating physician in connection with diagnosis and treatment decisions.

Providers referred to in this clinical policy are independent contractors who exercise independent judgment and over whom LHCC has no control or right of control. Providers are not agents or employees of LHCC.

This clinical policy is the property of LHCC. Unauthorized copying, use, and distribution of this clinical policy or any information contained herein are strictly prohibited. Providers, member/enrollees and their representatives are bound to the terms and conditions expressed herein through the terms of their contracts. Where no such contract exists, providers, member/enrollees and their representatives agree to be bound by such terms and conditions by providing services to member/enrollees and/or submitting claims for payment for such services.

©2025 Louisiana Healthcare Connections. All rights reserved. All materials are exclusively owned by Louisiana Healthcare Connections and are protected by United States copyright law and international copyright law. No part of this publication may be reproduced, copied, modified, distributed, displayed, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means, or otherwise published without the prior written permission of Louisiana Healthcare Connections. You may not alter or remove any trademark, copyright or other notice contained herein. Louisiana Healthcare Connections is a registered trademark exclusively owned by Louisiana Healthcare Connections.